UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
DUNKIN' DONUTS FRANCHISED	X :	
RESTAURANTS LLC, et al.,	:	PLAINTIFFS' REPLY TO
	:	COUNTERCLAIM OF
Plaintiffs,	:	DEFENDANTS SUNDRAM,
	:	INC., SATYAM SHIVAM, INC.,
v.	:	SHIVAM SUNDRAM, INC.
	:	AND TKNY PARTNERS LLC
SUNDRAM, INC., et al.,	:	
	:	C.A. No. 07-CV-11134 (RJH)
Defendants.	:	
	Y	

Plaintiffs/counter-defendants (collectively "Dunkin") hereby reply to the counterclaim of Defendants as follows:

## COUNTERCLAIM

- Dunkin' notes that paragraph 103 under the counterclaim is incorrectly numbered. Dunkin' denies the allegations of paragraph 103.
- 2. Dunkin' denies the allegations of paragraph 104.
- 3. Dunkin' denies the allegations of paragraph 105.
- 4. Dunkin' denies the allegations of paragraph 106.
- 5. Dunkin' denies the allegations of paragraph 107.

## AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. Defendants' Counterclaim fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
- 2. Dunkin' pleads that Defendants are barred from recovery because Dunkin' has complied with all of its legal obligations (if any) to Defendants.
- 3. Dunkin' pleads that Defendants are barred from recovery because they are unable to prove that Dunkin' caused them any damage or that they suffered any damages.

- 4. Dunkin' pleads that if Defendants suffered any damages, such damages were caused by the acts or omissions of Defendants or other persons for whose acts or omissions Dunkin' is not liable, including Defendants themselves.
- 5. Without conceding that Defendants have suffered any damages, Dunkin' pleads that Defendants are barred from recovery, in whole or in part, for failing to mitigate their alleged damages.
- Dunkin' pleads that that Defendants' claims fail, in whole or in part, because, 6. even in the absence of any alleged impermissible motivating factor (of which there was none), Dunkin' would have taken the same actions regarding Defendants.
- 7. Dunkin' pleads that Defendants' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of laches, unclean hands, estoppel and/or waiver.
- 8. Dunkin' pleads that Defendants' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statutes of limitation.
- 9. Dunkin' denies that Defendants are entitled to recover costs and attorneys' fees under any theory of law.
- 10. Dunkin' reserves the right to add defenses as information is learned through discovery.

WHEREFORE, Dunkin' prays that this Court dismiss Defendants' Counterclaim with prejudice, award Dunkin' judgment against Defendants for their costs and attorneys' fees incurred in connection with Defendants' Counterclaim, and award Dunkin' such other relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

## Respectfully submitted,

By:/s/ Ronald D. Degen\_

Ronald D. Degen, Esq. (RD 7808) Scott Goldfinger, Esq. (SC 9219) O'ROURKE & DEGEN, PLLC 225 Broadway, Suite 715 New York, NY 10007

Telephone: (212) 227-4530 Facsimile: (212) 385-9813

Robert L. Zisk, Esq. (RZ 1275) David E. Worthen, Esq. (DW 8519) Katherine L. Wallman, Esq. (KW 3966) GRAY, PLANT, MOOTY, MOOTY & BENNETT, P.A. 2600 Virginia Avenue, N.W., Suite 1111

Washington, DC 20037 Telephone: (202) 295-2200 Facsimile: (202) 295-2250

Dated: February 13, 2008 Attorneys for Plaintiffs